| Validation | period: |
|------------|---------|
|            |         |

## MRSA VRE Validation – Form C MRSA and VRE BSI Validation Findings

Display validation results using 2x2 tables to demonstrate both the accuracy and completeness of CDI surveillance and reporting.

| MRSA BSI Example               |                    | Validation Review<br>("Gold Standard" or truth) |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|--|
| MRSA positive reviewed for val |                    | MRSA BSI  | Not MRSA BSI                                 |  |
| Identified and<br>Reported by  | MRSA BSI<br>12     | 12  | <u>0</u><br>Reported in error                | Positive Predictive Value (PPV) =  12 True positives |
| Hospital                       | Not MRSA BSI<br>10 | 3<br>Missed                                     | 7  |  |
|                                | Sensitiv           | •   | Specificity =                                | _  |
|                                |                    | 2 True positives X 100 e pos. + 3 False neg.    | 7 True negatives<br>7 True neg. + 0 False po | X 100<br>os.   |
|                                |                    | 80%   | 100%   |  |

## Interpretation:

From the 22 MRSA positive blood cultures reviewed, the validation reviewers found <u>3</u> disparities compared to the hospital surveillance report.

The hospital had identified and reported 12 MRSA BSI. The validation reviewers determined all 12 should have been reported; all met the surveillance criteria.

The calculated **positive predictive value (PPV)** reveals that what was reported as MRSA BSI meets the LabID criteria 100% of the time.

For the other 10 MRSA positive blood cultures reviewed in which routine hospital surveillance did not report MRSA BSI, the validation reviewers identified <u>3</u> additional MRSA BSI.

The calculated **sensitivity** reveals routine hospital surveillance is identifying 80% of the MRSA BSI occurring.

The calculated **specificity** reveals hospital routine surveillance accurately "rules out" MRSA BSI 100% of the time.

| VRE BSI Example                      |               | Validation Review<br>("Gold Standard" or truth) |  |   |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---|--|---|
| VRE positive blue reviewed for value |               | MRSA BSI  | Not MRSA BSI   |   |
| Identified and<br>Reported by        | VRE BSI 0     |   | <br>Reported in error                                | Positive Predictive Value (PPV) =  0 True positives True positives True positives  0 True positives Could not calculate |
| Hospital                             | Not VRE BSI 6 | <u>3</u><br>Missed                              | 3  |   |
| Sensitiv                             |               | •   | Specificity =  |   |
| ·                                    |               | True positives X 100 pos. + 3 False neg.        | <u>3 True negatives</u><br>3 True neg. + 0 False pos | X 100<br>s.   |
|                                      |               | 0%  | 100%   |   |

## Interpretation:

From the 6 VRE positive blood cultures reviewed, the validation reviewers found  $\underline{3}$  disparities compared to the hospital surveillance report.

The hospital had not identified nor reported any VRE BSI. The validation reviewers determined 3 should have been reported (the other 3 were duplicates).

**Positive predictive value (PPV)** could not be calculated because the hospital had not identified any VRE BSI upon which to assess accuracy in applying surveillance definitions.

For the 6 VRE positive blood cultures reviewed that the hospital did not report as VRE BSI, the validation reviewers identified <u>3</u> VRE BSI that met the surveillance criteria.

The calculated **sensitivity** reveals routine hospital surveillance is identifying 0% of the VRE BSI occurring.

The calculated **specificity** reveals that when VRE BSI did not meet criteria, routine surveillance is not reporting them 100% of the time (i.e. hospital not calling something a VRE BSI that is not a VRE BSI).

## **Data Validation for MRSA Bloodstream Infections**

| Hospital:                 |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Surveillance time period: |  |

| From MRSA Events Table, Form 3 |                                    | Validation Review |  |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Number of MRSA+ blood cu       | ultures in review =                | MRSA BSI          | No MRSA BSI                            |
| Identified and Reported        | MRSA BSI  Form B, M total Q1 = Yes | А                 | B<br><mark>Reported</mark><br>in Error |
| by Hospital                    | No MRSA BSI                        | C<br>Missed       | D                                      |

| Data | Validation | for VRE | <b>Bloodstream</b> | Infections |
|------|------------|---------|--------------------|------------|
|------|------------|---------|--------------------|------------|

| Hospital:                 |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| Surveillance time period: |  |

| From VRE Events Table, Form 3 |                                     | Validation Review |                           |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Number of VRE+ blood co       | ultures in review =                 | VRE BSI           | No VRE BSI                |
| Routine Hospital              | VRE BSI Form B, V total Q1 = Yes    | Α                 | B<br>Reported<br>in Error |
| Surveillance                  | No VRE BSI  Form B, V total Q1 = No | C<br>Missed       | D                         |

Sensitivity = 
$$A \times 100 = A \times 100 =$$